

# Development, Africa, and Migration

---

Robert D. Tortora, Chief Methodologist and Regional Research Director for Sub-Saharan Africa

For more information about the  
World Poll, please contact  
Sarah Van Allen at 202.715.3152  
or [sarah\\_van\\_allen@gallup.com](mailto:sarah_van_allen@gallup.com)

# MILLIUM - A (MDG)

Robert D. Tortora, Chief Methodologist and Regional Research  
Director for Sub-Saharan Africa



Launched in 2005, the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment. The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment. The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment.

The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment. The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment. The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment.

<sup>1</sup> For more information on the MDGs, see the United Nations Millennium Development Goals website: <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/>.  
<sup>2</sup> The MDGs are a set of eight international development goals that were agreed upon by 191 countries at the United Nations Millennium Summit in 2000. The MDGs are designed to address the most pressing development issues facing the world, including poverty, education, health, and the environment.

A \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ G \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ MDG, \_\_\_\_\_ MDG, \_\_\_\_\_  
 A \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 26 \_\_\_\_\_ 2006 G \_\_\_\_\_  
 A \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_

**T:  W  P**

G \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ & E \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ G \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_  
 -D \_\_\_\_\_ -D \_\_\_\_\_ ( DD ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 DD \_\_\_\_\_  
 C \_\_\_\_\_, E \_\_\_\_\_, J \_\_\_\_\_, A \_\_\_\_\_, N \_\_\_\_\_

I \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_ I \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

1. C \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 1 \_\_\_\_\_
2. C \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 500,000 999,999
3. C \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 100,000 499,999
4. C \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 50,000 99,999
5.  \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 10,000 49,999
6.  \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 10,000

I \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_  
 F \_\_\_\_\_, K \_\_\_\_\_  
 1 \_\_\_\_\_ B \_\_\_\_\_ I \_\_\_\_\_ C \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ 5 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

100 / 125 ...D, ...8 10  
1,000  
I  
15 ) F , G  
K, G, O

G  
O  
-B, L  
O, F, E, H (G, 2007). I  
G  
M, B  
A, L, A, C, I, A, I  
O

I - A  
HI / AID  
A  
M D, G

**T: M U D v - G a**

I 2000, N, M D  
M D, G  
( N, 2007). I, N. MDG  
2015. H, 2007 M D, G, ( N  
N, 2007), B K- -G N,



E... A... MDG... A... I...  
 MDG... A... I...  
 O... MDG...  
 A...  
 MDG...

**M... d... Ra... M... U... D... G a...**

G... 12...  
 12...  
 12...  
 I...  
 26... 25.3%);  
 6...  
 N... ( 2 7,  
 1,2, 3...  
 95%...  
 HI /AID...

<sup>3</sup> O...




Figure 1: Reducing Poverty





Figure 3: Reducing the Spread of HIV/AIDS





For example, Ghana's performance on MDG 1 (Millennium Development Goal 1) is 6 (L & I, 2008), which is 1 point below the target. In the same way, the country's performance on MDG 26 is 13,305, which is 100 points below the target.

### Table 1

Table 1 shows the country's performance on MDG 1-12. The table is organized into three columns: the first column shows the MDG number, the second column shows the MDG description, and the third column shows the country's performance on the MDG. The table also includes a column for the target value and a column for the difference between the country's performance and the target.

**Table 1: Overview of Ghana's Performance on MDG 1-12**

MDG	MDG Description	World Average Score	Ghana's Score	Success Difference (World Avg - Ghana)
1.	Reducing poverty	2.41	13,200	NA
2.	Reducing hunger	2.48	13,153	-0.07
3.	Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.05	13,261	-0.57
4.	Providing more jobs for youth	3.17	13,239	-0.12
5.	Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.34	13,545	-0.17
6.	Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.38	13,160	-0.04
7.	Achieving primary education for all	3.62	13,052	-0.24
8.	Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.64	13,346	-0.02
9.	Improving access to safe drinking water	3.75	13,516	-0.11
10.	Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.09	12,901	-0.34
11.	Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.38	13,261	-0.29
12.	Providing access to new technology	4.65	13,305	-0.27

$$A_{26} = -0.07 \quad A_{27} = -0.07 \quad (2.41)$$

$$(2.48) \quad \text{HI / AID} = 3.05 \quad -0.57$$

$$12 \quad \text{HI / AID} = (3.17), \quad -0.12$$

$$3.34, \quad -0.17 \quad (3.38)$$

$$(3.62), \quad -0.24 \quad F$$

$$\boxtimes B (3.64) \quad (3.75)$$

$$(4.09), \quad -0.34$$

$$A \quad (4.38)$$

$$-0.29 \quad (4.65), \quad -0.27$$

$$HI$$

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. E

**Tab. 2: MDG Reached by Gender**

MDG Reached by Women	Weighted Score	MDG Reached by Men	Weighted Score
Reducing hunger	2.44	Reducing poverty	2.31
Reducing poverty	2.53	Reducing hunger	2.57
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.09	Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	2.99
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.17	Providing more jobs for youth	3.01
Providing more jobs for youth	3.29	Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.41
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.31	Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.47
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.66	Achieving primary education for all	3.57
Improving access to safe drinking water	3.74	Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.61
Achieving primary education for all	3.96	Improving access to safe drinking water	3.77
Improving access to sanitation facilities	3.97	Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.12
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.25	Providing access to new technology	4.48
Providing access to new technology	4.78	Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.57

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100. B



4. The World Bank (2008) also notes that the MDG target for reducing poverty is 2.32, and the target for reducing hunger is 2.52. The target for reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS is 3.12, and the target for providing more jobs for youth is 3.26. The target for reducing the death rate among children under five is 3.31, and the target for reducing the number of women dying during childbirth is 3.31. The target for achieving primary education for all is 3.70, and the target for reducing the spread of malaria and TB is 3.62. The target for improving access to safe drinking water is 3.72, and the target for improving access to sanitation facilities is 4.08. The target for achieving gender equality and empowering women is 4.39, and the target for providing access to new technology is 4.77.

Tab. 4: Results by Educational Level	World Malaria Scenario	
MDG	Primary	Adult Secondary
Reducing poverty	2.32	2.52
Reducing hunger	2.38	2.72
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.12	2.92
Providing more jobs for youth	3.26	2.98
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.31	3.39
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.31	3.46
Achieving primary education for all	3.70	3.56
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.62	3.66
Improving access to safe drinking water	3.72	3.82
Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.08	4.13
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.39	4.38
Providing access to new technology	4.77	4.45

<sup>4</sup> Education is a key driver of economic growth, and is a key component of the MDG target for reducing poverty. The target for reducing poverty is 2.32, and the target for reducing hunger is 2.52.

Table 5: Realization of MDGs by Country

Table 5 shows the realization of MDGs by country. The table is organized into columns for MDG 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. The rows represent the countries: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Belize, Benin, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cote d'Ivoire, Czechia, Denmark, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Estonia, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Korea, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Laos, Latvia, Lebanon, Lesotho, Liberia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Monaco, Mongolia, Montenegro, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, Viet Nam, and Yemen.

MDG	World	
	Uganda	Rwanda
Reducing poverty	2.50	2.38
Reducing hunger	2.59	2.47
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	2.94	3.07
Providing more jobs for youth	3.02	3.19
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.29	3.36
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.40	3.38
Achieving primary education for all	3.57	3.63
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.70	3.64
Improving access to safe drinking water	3.82	3.75
Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.07	4.10
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.40	4.37
Providing access to new technology	4.60	4.65

Table 5 shows the realization of MDGs by country. The table is organized into columns for MDG 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. The rows represent the countries: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Belize, Benin, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cote d'Ivoire, Czechia, Denmark, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Estonia, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Korea, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Laos, Latvia, Lebanon, Lesotho, Liberia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Monaco, Mongolia, Montenegro, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, Viet Nam, and Yemen.

Figure 6, which shows the number of people who have been reached by the DASH program (in millions) from 2006 to 2011?

**Table 6: Reach by Efficacy**

MDG	Have a Job	Don't Have a Job
Reducing poverty	2.41	2.40
Reducing hunger	2.51	2.47
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.02	3.05
Providing more jobs for youth	3.09	3.22
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.36	3.30
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.34	3.44
Achieving primary education for all	3.62	3.63
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.61	3.67
Improving access to safe drinking water	3.80	3.73
Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.10	4.07
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.41	4.37
Providing access to new technology	4.63	4.68

However, the program's impact on HIV/AIDS is less clear. The program has reached 3.02 million people, but the number of people who have been reached by the program is 3.05 million. This suggests that the program has not had a significant impact on HIV/AIDS.

Figure 7 shows the number of people who have been reached by the DASH program (in millions) from 2006 to 2011.



Table 7: MDG Reached by Country			
MDG Reached by Country	World Malaria Score	MDG Reached by Country	World Malaria Score
Reducing hunger	2.26	Reducing poverty	2.42
Reducing poverty	2.36	Reducing hunger	2.55
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.12	Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3.01
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.26	Providing more jobs for youth	3.08
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.29	Reducing the death rate among children under five	3.38
Providing more jobs for youth	3.39	Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	3.41
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.58	Achieving primary education for all	3.58
Improving access to safe drinking water	3.65	Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	3.68
Achieving primary education for all	3.80	Improving access to safe drinking water	3.79
Improving access to sanitation facilities	3.92	Improving access to sanitation facilities	4.14
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.47	Providing access to new technology	4.57
Providing access to new technology	4.97	Achieving gender equality and empowering women	4.36

... ( ... 75% ... , ... 26 ... )

... A ...

... B ...



A, , A MDG 95%

A, A, A

MDG F

A

B I A

2.79,

2.48 3.1, I B

1.94, 1.76 2.12,

I

A F

A ( , C , E , A )

1,

A ( , )

1, 2,

12).

9 12, I

A

A

A

A

A

26 1.2.

B, 95%

**Tab- 9: MDG Ranking by Country East Africa**

MDG	Burundi	Ethiopia	Kenya	Rwanda	Tanzania	Uganda
Reducing poverty	2	2	4	2	2	1
Reducing hunger	1	1	3	1	1	3
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	3	3	1	3	3	2
Providing more jobs for youth	8	6	3	7	5	4
Reducing the death rate among children under five	4	5	6	5	4	7
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	6	4	5	4	6	9
Achieving primary education for all	5	9	8	6	7	5
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	10	6	7	8	8	6
Improving access to safe drinking water	7	8	9	9	10	8
Improving access to sanitation facilities	9	11	10	11	11	10
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	11	10	11	10	9	12
Providing access to new technology	12	12	12	12	12	11
Mean Absolute Deviation from the overall sub-Saharan Ranking	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.2

**Tab- 10: MDG Ranking by Country South Africa**

MDG	Algeria	Burkina Faso	Mali	Mali	Mali	South Africa	Zambia	Zimbabwe
Reducing poverty	2	3	1	2	1	2	2	3
Reducing hunger	1	4	2	1	2	3	4	2
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	4	1	11	3	3	1	1	1
Providing more jobs for youth	3	2	3	9	7	3	3	4
Reducing the death rate among children under five	6	6	5	6	4	8	7	6
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	5	8	6	4	5	10	6	8
Achieving primary education for all	8	5	4	7	9	7	5	7

C ... ..

Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	7	9	8	5	6	6	8	5
Improving access to safe drinking water	10	6	7	8	8	5	9	10
Improving access to sanitation facilities	9	10	9	10	10	9	10	9
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	11	11	10	11	11	11	11	11
Providing access to new technology	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Mean Absolute Deviation from the overall sub-Saharan Ranking	0.8	1.4	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.6	0.8	1

**Tab. 11: MDG Ranking by Country Group Africa**

MDG	Country Group	Country
Reducing poverty	1	2
Reducing hunger	2	1
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	4	3
Providing more jobs for youth	3	10
Reducing the death rate among children under five	7	5
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	9	4
Achieving primary education for all	5	8
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	6	9
Improving access to safe drinking water	8	7
Improving access to sanitation facilities	10	6
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	11	11
Providing access to new technology	12	12
Mean Absolute Deviation from the overall sub-Saharan Ranking	1	1.5

☒ 9 and 11, ... E, ... C ... A ...  
 ... A ... (1.6), ...  
 ☒ ... (0.7).

H ... , ... A ...  
 ... A ... G ... (0.8),  
 ... (1.6) ... A ...): B ...

(1.7), Botswana (2.1), Namibia (2.2), Mozambique (2.3), Lesotho (2.2), and Malawi (2.3).

Figure 12 shows the MDG Ranking by Country in West Africa. As shown in the figure, the MDG Ranking by Country in West Africa is as follows: Botswana (2.1), Namibia (2.2), Mozambique (2.3), Lesotho (2.2), and Malawi (2.3). The MDG Ranking by Country in West Africa is as follows: Botswana (2.1), Namibia (2.2), Mozambique (2.3), Lesotho (2.2), and Malawi (2.3).

**Table 12: MDG Ranking by Country in West Africa**

MDG	Botswana	Botswana Favorable	Ghana	Malawi	Malawi Favorable	Namibia	Namibia Favorable	Senegal	Senegal Favorable	Togo
Reducing poverty	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1
Reducing hunger	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Reducing the spread of HIV/AIDS	10	7	4	10	8	10	7	8	11	5
Providing more jobs for youth	6	8	3	9	10	9	3	6	6	3
Reducing the death rate among children under five	3	3	8	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
Reducing the number of women dying during childbirth	4	4	6	3	3	4	5	3	4	10
Achieving primary education for all	7	10	5	8	9	8	6	9	5	9
Reducing the spread of malaria and TB	9	6	9	7	6	5	9	5	10	8
Improving access to safe drinking water	5	8	7	6	5	7	8	10	7	7
Improving access to sanitation facilities	8	5	10	5	7	6	10	7	8	6
Achieving gender equality and empowering women	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	9	11
Providing access to new technology	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	12	12	12
Mean Absolute Deviation from the overall sub-Saharan Ranking	1.7	2.1	0.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	1	1.7	2.2	1.3

## Summary of Findings

Findings from the G... - A...  
M... D... G... (MDG)... A... 26

A... HI /AID  
B.F... A...

A... F...  
H... A... 1.2...  
26... B... (1.7...), B... F...  
(2.1), N... M... L... (2.2), M... (2.3)  
I... HI /AID

G... A...  
A...  
A... F...

International Journal of Health Services, 1997, 27(2), 233-244.

10. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2000). The prevalence of self-reported chronic pain in the general population: A population-based study. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 19(2), 103-110.

11. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2001). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 21(2), 103-110.

12. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2002). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 24(2), 103-110.

13. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2003). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 26(2), 103-110.

14. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2004). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 28(2), 103-110.

15. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2005). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 30(2), 103-110.

16. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2006). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 32(2), 103-110.

17. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2007). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 34(2), 103-110.

18. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2008). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 36(2), 103-110.

19. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2009). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 38(2), 103-110.

20. Fuchs, V., & Gerdle, B. (2010). A population-based study of self-reported chronic pain in the general population. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 40(2), 103-110.

## References

- Goldman, D. (2007). *State of global well-being: 2007*. New York: Global Well-Being Institute.
- Goldman, D., & Gerdle, B. (2000). *Voices of the poor: can anyone hear us?* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldman, D., & Gerdle, B. (2001). *World Poll*. I. J. H. Goldman, M. B. Goldman, B. E. Goldman, & J. Goldman, L. L. Goldman, M. B. E. Goldman, & Goldman (Eds.), *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional and multicultural contexts*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldman, D., & Gerdle, B. (2002). *Economic development in Africa: from adjustment to poverty reduction: what is new?* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldman, D. (2007). *The Millennium Development Goals report 2007*. New York: Oxford University Press.



# A C D

MDG Sc 95% C d c L \* (U d L w ) MDG G a.

C	R d b	R d b	R d b ad HIV/ AIDS	P v b	R d a	R d b	Ac v	R d b ad	I r acc	I r acc	Ac v d	P v b acc
	2.79	2.72	3.3	3.08	3.35	3.24	3.71	3.39	3.91	3.78	4.31	4.49
Upper Limit	3.1	3.03	3.56	3.38	3.63	3.48	3.96	3.65	4.14	4.03	4.58	4.78
Lower Limit	2.48	2.41	3.04	2.78	3.07	3	3.46	3.13	3.68	3.53	4.04	4.2
B	1.94	2.04	4.15	3.57	2.71	3.05	3.73	3.79	3.51	3.76	4.4	5.14
Upper Limit	2.12	2.22	4.34	3.77	2.88	3.22	3.9	3.96	3.68	3.94	4.59	5.3
Lower Limit	1.76	1.86	3.96	3.37	2.54	2.88	3.56	3.62	3.34	3.58	4.21	4.98
B w a	2.76	2.95	2.04	2.45	3.85	3.92	3.42	4.07	3.85	4.1	4.17	4.49
Upper Limit	2.91	3.1	2.2	2.6	4	4.09	3.59	4.23	4.02	4.24	4.34	4.66
Lower Limit	2.61	2.8	1.88	2.3	3.7	3.75	3.25	3.91	3.68	3.96	4	4.32
B a Fa	3.05	2.42	3.4	3.75	2.68	2.72	3.83	3.36	3.72	3.22	4.44	5.42
Upper Limit	3.28	2.61	3.6	3.96	2.87	2.91	4.03	3.54	3.91	3.41	4.64	5.58
Lower Limit	2.82	2.23	3.2	3.54	2.49	2.53	3.63	3.18	3.53	3.03	4.24	5.26
B d	2.4	2.26	2.8	3.91	3.26	3.56	3.27	3.9	3.89	3.86	4.13	4.57
Upper Limit	2.7	2.55	3.07	4.2	3.56	3.83	3.54	4.16	4.15	4.13	4.44	4.86
Lower Limit	2.1	1.97	2.53	3.62	2.96	3.29	3	3.64	3.63	3.59	3.82	4.28
Ca r	2.11	2.63	2.94	2.84	3.55	3.79	3.31	3.52	3.72	3.81	4.81	4.9
Upper Limit	2.24	2.77	3.09	2.99	3.69	3.93	3.45	3.66	3.85	3.95	4.94	5.03
Lower Limit	1.98	2.49	2.79	2.69	3.41	3.65	3.17	3.38	3.59	3.67	4.68	4.77
C ad	2.68	2.56	3.02	3.76	3.4	3.35	3.63	3.73	3.5	3.44	4.23	4.69
Upper Limit	2.95	2.81	3.23	3.99	3.61	3.58	3.86	3.92	3.71	3.65	4.46	4.94
Lower Limit	2.41	2.31	2.81	3.53	3.19	3.12	3.4	3.54	3.29	3.23	4	4.44
E a	2.43	2.22	2.75	3.57	3.42	3.31	3.96	3.57	3.84	4.37	3.97	4.58
Upper Limit	2.71	2.51	2.99	3.84	3.7	3.58	4.22	3.83	4.09	4.62	4.24	4.84
Lower Limit	2.15	1.93	2.51	3.3	3.14	3.04	3.7	3.31	3.59	4.12	3.7	4.32
G a a	2.71	2.85	3.32	2.92	3.53	3.41	3.39	3.67	3.5	3.85	4.33	4.56
Upper Limit	3.07	3.23	3.64	3.3	3.88	3.73	3.72	4.02	3.82	4.17	4.65	4.91
Lower Limit	2.35	2.47	3	2.54	3.18	3.09	3.06	3.32	3.18	3.53	4.01	4.21
K y a	3.33	2.81	2.55	3.05	3.38	3.37	3.52	3.23	3.73	4.03	4.22	4.51
Upper Limit	3.62	3.09	2.76	3.32	3.64	3.59	3.76	3.49	3.93	4.26	4.45	4.77
Lower Limit	3.04	2.53	2.34	2.78	3.12	3.15	3.28	2.97	3.53	3.8	3.99	4.25
Mada a ca	1.97	2.07	4.41	2.84	3.41	3.36	3.23	3.67	3.49	4.11	4.31	4.85
Upper Limit	2.14	2.25	4.58	3.03	3.6	3.55	3.4	3.84	3.67	4.28	4.47	5.01
Lower Limit	1.8	1.89	4.24	2.65	3.22	3.17	3.06	3.5	3.31	3.94	4.15	4.69
Maaw	2.33	2.21	2.56	3.79	3.47	3.4	3.59	3.46	3.63	4.23	4.52	4.79
Upper Limit	2.56	2.44	2.76	4.03	3.7	3.62	3.83	3.66	3.84	4.43	4.73	5
Lower Limit	2.1	1.98	2.36	3.55	3.24	3.18	3.35	3.26	3.42	4.03	4.31	4.58

C .....

Category	Scenario	95% CL	Design Effect	Confidence Limits	MDG Goal							
Ma	2.14	2.07	4.5	4.2	2.47	2.4	3.8	3.54	3.46	3.43	4.74	5.33
	Upper Limit	2.27	2.2	4.64	4.34	2.59	2.53	3.94	3.67	3.58	4.86	5.45
	Lower Limit	2.01	1.94	4.36	4.06	2.35	2.27	3.66	3.41	3.34	4.62	5.21
Ma	1.73	1.77	3.83	4.08	3.16	3.06	3.91	3.68	3.35	3.71	4.3	5.34
	Upper Limit	1.85	1.89	3.98	4.23	3.29	3.2	4.06	3.81	3.49	4.44	5.45
	Lower Limit	1.61	1.65	3.68	3.93	3.03	2.92	3.76	3.55	3.21	4.16	5.23
Ma	2	2.44	2.63	3.64	3.04	3.29	4.07	3.54	3.97	4.16	4.5	4.89
	Upper Limit	2.13	2.61	2.76	3.79	3.19	3.44	4.2	3.68	4.1	4.64	5.04
	Lower Limit	1.87	2.27	2.5	3.49	2.89	3.14	3.94	3.4	3.84	4.02	4.74
N	1.92	1.93	3.99	3.97	2.8	2.93	3.93	3.31	3.8	3.37	4.86	5.18
	Upper Limit	2.05	2.06	4.12	4.12	2.94	3.09	4.08	3.44	3.94	5	5.32
	Lower Limit	1.79	1.8	3.86	3.82	2.66	2.77	3.78	3.18	3.66	4.72	5.04
N	2.2	2.52	3.42	2.81	3.28	3.31	3.41	3.85	3.83	4.42	4.53	4.47
	Upper Limit	2.4	2.74	3.63	3	3.48	3.54	3.6	4.04	4.03	4.59	4.68
	Lower Limit	2	2.3	3.21	2.62	3.08	3.08	3.22	3.66	3.63	4.25	4.26
Rwa da	2.23	2.11	2.3	3.47	3.42	3.38	3.45	3.55	4.05	4.67	4.64	4.69
	Upper Limit	2.36	2.23	2.4	3.61	3.55	3.5	3.58	3.67	4.16	4.78	4.81
	Lower Limit	2.1	1.99	2.2	3.33	3.29	3.26	3.32	3.43	3.94	4.56	4.57
Sa	2.44	2.5	3.85	3.42	3.28	2.5	4.08	3.25	4.19	3.84	4.48	4.94
	Upper Limit	2.65	2.7	4.06	3.62	3.47	2.7	4.27	3.44	4.36	4.03	5.13
	Lower Limit	2.23	2.3	3.64	3.22	3.09	2.3	3.89	3.06	4.02	3.65	4.27
S	2.3	2.27	4.49	3.52	2.82	3.09	3.42	4.11	3.59	3.6	4.02	4.79
	Upper Limit	2.51	2.5	4.7	3.74	3.03	3.32	3.65	4.31	3.79	3.82	5
	Lower Limit	2.09	2.04	4.28	3.3	2.61	2.86	3.19	3.91	3.39	3.38	4.58
S	2.48	2.69	2.24	2.69	3.83	4.08	3.79	3.84	3.32	3.93	4.48	4.63
	Upper Limit	2.69	2.89	2.44	2.9	4.03	4.28	4.01	4.04	3.52	4.13	4.83
	Lower Limit	2.27	2.49	2.04	2.48	3.63	3.88	3.57	3.64	3.12	3.73	4.27
Ta	2.59	2.5	2.86	3.24	3.23	3.29	3.56	3.81	4.07	4.25	3.97	4.54
	Upper Limit	2.89	2.82	3.18	3.57	3.53	3.6	3.9	4.13	4.37	4.56	4.83
	Lower Limit	2.29	2.18	2.54	2.91	2.93	2.98	3.22	3.49	3.77	3.94	4.25
T	2.08	2.22	3.39	3.21	3.36	3.78	3.69	3.6	3.5	3.44	4.6	5.16
	Upper Limit	2.21	2.36	3.54	3.36	3.51	3.93	3.84	3.73	3.64	3.58	4.74
	Lower Limit	1.95	2.08	3.24	3.06	3.21	3.63	3.54	3.47	3.36	3.3	4.46
U	2.04	2.67	2.58	3.18	3.68	3.75	3.62	3.47	3.69	3.88	4.92	4.34
	Upper Limit	2.24	2.89	2.77	3.44	3.9	3.97	3.82	3.68	3.9	4.09	4.57
	Lower Limit	1.84	2.45	2.39	2.92	3.46	3.53	3.42	3.26	3.48	3.67	4.11
Za	2.76	3.05	2.69	2.92	3.41	3.36	3.5	3.6	3.7	4.11	4.24	4.74
	Upper Limit	2.93	3.22	2.83	3.07	3.56	3.51	3.65	3.74	3.83	4.25	4.88
	Lower Limit	2.59	2.88	2.55	2.77	3.26	3.21	3.35	3.46	3.57	3.97	4.1
Z	2.53	2.45	2.16	2.95	3.48	3.71	3.63	3.44	4.24	4.19	4.33	4.7
	Upper Limit	2.75	2.67	2.35	3.17	3.7	3.92	3.84	3.68	4.42	4.4	4.91
	Lower Limit	2.31	2.23	1.97	2.73	3.26	3.5	3.42	3.2	4.06	3.98	4.49

\* The Confidence Limits are are adjusted to account for the Design Effect.



GALLUP POLL | CONSULTING | UNIVERSITY | PRESS

† 1.877.242.5587    f 1.202.715.3045    901 F Street, NW    Washington, D.C. 20004    [www.gallup.com](http://www.gallup.com)